

DSC 433/533 – Information Analysis for Managerial Decisions – Presentations Evaluation Sheet for Class Presentations on Monday 26 November

Each presentation will be graded (with all group participants receiving the same grade) taking into account the following criteria:

- 1) **Substance:** Was interesting, useful information provided? Was the “big picture” clear?
- 2) **Clarity:** Were the main issues explained clearly and concisely and conclusions carefully stated?
- 3) **Focus:** Were the main points of the topic covered fully and without superfluous discussion?
- 4) **Presentation:** Was the presentation crisp and professional, with good time management?

Class feedback: I will base the presentation grades partly on my judgment (using the criteria above), and partly on feedback from the class using this evaluation sheet with personal scores based on the criteria above. You need to turn in this sheet in class to receive triple class participation.

Score each criteria on a scale from 1 to 5, where:

- 1 = very poor
- 2 = poor
- 3 = average
- 4 = good
- 5 = very good

Time	Group	Topic	Score each criteria from 1 to 5			
			Substance	Clarity	Focus	Presentation
8:05-8:14	Jason Bell Kristin Henshen	Building the data mining environment				
8:15-8:24	Matthew Bell Claudia Ciobanu Kushal Koolwal	Data mining ethics				
8:25-8:34	Katy Hagert Anika Hedstrom	Survival analysis				
8:35-8:44	Kelly Hoff Mary Ellen Mansfield Danna Newberg	Data warehousing				
8:45-8:54	Thomas Jensen Andrew Noll	Genetic algorithms				
Break						
9:00-9:09	James Hooten Matthew Larkin	BCS football system				
9:10-9:19	Daniel Jolicoeur Karen Lund Mark Miller	Link analysis				
9:20-9:29	Aaron Kyllingmark John Lamanna	National Security Agency				
9:30-9:39	Rositsa Nikolaeva Valeria Svensson	Survival analysis				
9:40-9:49	Shubin Xu Yukihiro Yamada	Genetic algorithms				

Your name: _____ 9 digit student ID: _____